

THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG
Department of Mathematics
2018-2019 semester 1 MATH4060
Homework 4 solution

5.10 By (5.2b), the orders of both functions are 1 (cos is a sum of exp). Then by Hadamard factorisation theorem and symmetric grouping of factors,

$$f(z) = e^z - 1 = e^{Az+B} z \prod_{n \neq 0} \left(1 - \frac{z}{2\pi i n}\right) e^{z/(2\pi i n)} = e^{Az+B} z \prod_{n > 0} \left(1 + \frac{z^2}{(2\pi n)^2}\right)$$

$$g(z) = \cos \pi z = e^{Cz+D} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{n + 1/2}\right) e^{z/(n+1/2)} = e^{Cz+D} \prod_{n > 0} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{(n - 1/2)^2}\right)$$

Considering $f'(0) = g(0) = 1$ shows $e^B = e^D = 1$ and considering the constant terms in $(f'/f)(0)$ and $(g'/g)(0)$ shows $A = 1/2$ and $C = 0$.

5.11 Suppose f misses a and b , and $a \neq b$. By Hadamard's factorisation theorem, since $f - a$ has no zero, $f(z) = e^{P(z)}$ for some polynomial P . By fundamental theorem of algebra, P is surjective on \mathbb{C} , and hence $(f - a)(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. In particular, $b - a = f(z) - a$ for some z , contradictory to the assumption that f misses b .

5.14 We prove the contrapositive. Suppose f has finitely many zeros a_1, \dots, a_k . Then f/Q has no zero for the polynomial $Q(z) = \prod (z - a_i)$, and hence $f = Qe^P$ for some polynomial P . Then the order of f is the degree of P , and hence is integral.

5.15 By Weierstrass factorisation theorem, there exist holomorphic f and g such that $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ are the set of zeros of f and g respectively. Then $h = f/g$ is a meromorphic function that vanishes exactly at $\{a_n\}$ and has poles exactly at $\{b_n\}$. Now, let φ be a meromorphic function with zeros $\{\tilde{a}_n\}$ and poles $\{\tilde{b}_n\}$. Then φ/h is entire without zeros if h is defined with $a_n = \tilde{a}_n$ and $b_n = \tilde{b}_n$. Then by taking log, $\varphi/h = e^\psi$ for some entire ψ . Then $\varphi = (e^\psi f)/g$, where $e^\psi f$ and g are entire.

6.1 By the factorisation of $1/\Gamma$, $\Gamma(s) = e^{-\gamma s} \frac{1}{s} \prod_{n > 0} \frac{n}{n+s} e^{s/n}$. Since $e^{-\gamma s} = \lim_N e^{s(\log N - \sum_1^N 1/n)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(s) &= \lim_N e^{s(\log N - \sum_1^N 1/n)} \frac{1}{s} \prod_1^N \frac{n}{n+s} e^{s/n} \\ &= \lim_N \frac{e^{s \log N} N!}{s(s+1)\dots(s+N)} \\ &= \lim_N \frac{N^s N!}{s(s+1)\dots(s+N)} \end{aligned}$$

6.4 Since $f^{(n)}(z) = \alpha(\alpha+1)\dots(\alpha+n-1)(1-z)^{-(\alpha+n)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_n a_n(\alpha)/(n^{\alpha-1}/\Gamma(\alpha)) &= \Gamma(\alpha) \lim_n \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)\dots(\alpha+n-1)}{n!n^{\alpha-1}} \\
&= \lim_n \frac{n^\alpha n!}{\alpha(\alpha+1)\dots(\alpha+n)} \lim_n \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)\dots(\alpha+n-1)}{n!n^{\alpha-1}} \\
&= \lim_n \frac{n}{\alpha+n} \\
&= 1
\end{aligned}$$

6.5 Since $\overline{\Gamma(\bar{z})}$ is meromorphic and agrees on the positive real axis with $\Gamma(z)$, $\Gamma(\bar{z}) = \overline{\Gamma(z)}$.

The result then follows from the following chain of equations.

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma(1/2 + it)|^2 &= \Gamma(1/2 + it)\overline{\Gamma(1/2 - it)} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi(1/2 + it)} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{\cosh \pi t} \dots (\sin(x + iy) = \sin x \cosh y + i \cos x \sinh y)
\end{aligned}$$

6.7 a. Proceed hinted. The new bounds are then $0 < u < \infty$ and $0 < r < 1$ and the Jacobian is $\left| \frac{\partial(s,t)}{\partial(u,r)} \right| = u$, and hence

$$\Gamma(\alpha+\beta) = \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{\alpha+\beta-1} du \int_0^1 r^{\alpha-1} (1-r)^{\beta-1} dr = \Gamma(\alpha+\beta) \int_0^1 r^{\alpha-1} (1-r)^{\beta-1} dr.$$

The result then follows by the change of variable $t = 1 - r$.

b. In the defining integral of B , do the change of variable $u = 1/(1-t) - 1$ to change the domain of integration from $(0, 1)$ to $(0, \infty)$. Then $1-t = 1/(u+1)$, $t = u/u+1$ and $dt = du/(u+1)^2$. This gives

$$B(\alpha, \beta) = \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{\beta-1}}{(u+1)^{\alpha+\beta}} du.$$

The result from interchanging α and β because part (a) implies $B(\alpha, \beta) = B(\beta, \alpha)$.

6.10 a. Consider the holomorphic function $f(w) = e^{-w} w^{z-1}$ on $\{w : \Re w > 0, \Im w > 0\}$ as hinted. Note $|f(w)| \leq e^{-\Re w} |w|^{u-1}$, where $u = \Re z \in (0, 1)$.

The integral on the small arc is bounded by

$$\varepsilon^u \int_0^{\pi/2} e^{-\varepsilon \cos \theta} d\theta \leq (\pi/2) \varepsilon^u \rightarrow 0$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The integral on the large arc is bounded by

$$R^u \int_0^{\pi/2} e^{-R \cos \theta} d\theta \leq R^u \int_0^{\pi/2} e^{-R(1-(2/\pi)\theta)} d\theta = R^{u-1} \int_0^R e^{-t} dt \leq R^{u-1} \rightarrow 0$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore, $\int_0^\infty e^{-it}t^{z-1}dt = i^{-z} \int_0^\infty e^{-t}t^{z-1}dt = e^{\pi iz/2}\Gamma(z)$. Conjugating and replacing z by \bar{z} (note \bar{z} still lies in the vertical strip between 0 and 1) shows $\int_0^\infty e^{it}t^{z-1}dt = e^{-\pi iz/2}\Gamma(z)$. The result then follows by taking linear combinations.

- b. The equations follows by putting $z = 0$ and $z = 1/2$ into the second equation in (a). It remains to show the equation holds on $|\Re z| < 1$. Right-hand side is clearly holomorphic because the pole of Γ at 0 cancels with that of the zero of \sin . Left-hand side is holomorphic on $-1 + \varepsilon < \Re z < -\varepsilon$ by Morera's theorem, (break the integral into one on $(0, 1)$ and $(1, \infty)$, where on the former the integrand is bounded by $t^{\Re z}$ as $|\sin t| \leq |t|$).

- 6.12** a. For every positive integer k , applying $s\Gamma(s) = \Gamma(s+1)$ gives $\Gamma(-1/2 - k) = \frac{-2\sqrt{\pi}}{(-1/2-1)(-1/2-2)\dots(-1/2-k)}$, and hence

$$|1/\Gamma(-1/2 - k)| \geq \frac{k!}{2\sqrt{\pi}}.$$

Since $|-1/2 - k| \leq 2k$, $\frac{1/\Gamma(-1/2-k)}{e^{A|1/2-k|}} \geq \frac{k!}{2\sqrt{\pi}(e^{2A})^k} \rightarrow \infty$, and hence $1/\Gamma(s)$ is not $O(e^{A|s|})$.

- b. By Hadamard's factorisation theorem, $F = e^P/\Gamma$ for some linear polynomial P , and hence $1/\Gamma = e^{-P}F$. If $F(z) = O(e^{C|z|})$, then so is $1/\Gamma$. The contradiction then follows.

- 6.14** a. fundamental theorem of calculus

- b. Since Γ , and hence $\log \Gamma$ is eventually increasing on the positive real axis (because $\log \Gamma$ is convex (see Theorem 8.18c of Rudin's *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*), and $\Gamma(3) > \Gamma(2)$), $\log \Gamma(x) \leq \int_x^{x+1} \log \Gamma \leq \log \Gamma(x+1)$, or equivalently,

$$(x-1) \log(x-1) - (x-1) + c \leq \int_{x-1}^x \log \Gamma \leq \Gamma(x) \leq \int_x^{x+1} \log \Gamma = x \log x - x + c,$$

Since for every $\alpha < 1$, for x sufficiently large, $(x-1) \log(x-1) - (x-1) + c \geq \alpha x \log(\alpha x) + o(x \log x) \geq \alpha x \log x + o(x \log x)$, and hence $\Gamma(x) \sim x \log x - x + c$, where $-x + c = O(x)$. The result then follows.

- 6.15**

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^s}{e^t - 1} \frac{dt}{t} &= \int_0^\infty t^s \sum_1^\infty e^{-nt} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \sum_1^\infty \int_0^\infty (t/n)^s e^{-t} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \sum_1^\infty \frac{1}{n^s} \Gamma(s) \\ &= \zeta(s) \Gamma(s) \end{aligned}$$

The exchange of integral is justified by Fubini's theorem for real s because the all expressions are nonnegative, and hence for all s with $\Re s > 1$ because $|(t/n)^s| \leq (t/n)^{\Re s}$, which reduces to the real case. [There are two versions of Fubini's theorem. The first, not mentioned in the tutorial note, says if a function f is measurable and nonnegative, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f dA = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f dy dx$. The second, mentioned in tutorial note 2, says the same conclusion holds if at least one of the three integrals is finite when f is replaced by $|f|$.]

6.16 Split the integral in 6.15 to one over $(0, 1)$ and $(1, \infty)$. The one on $(1, \infty)$ converges absolutely uniformly on compact sets, and hence by Morera's theorem defines a holomorphic function. For the one on $(0, 1)$, $\frac{t^s}{e^t-1} \frac{1}{t} = t^{s-2} \frac{t}{e^t-1} = t^{s-2} \sum_0^\infty c_n t^n$, where $\frac{t}{e^t-1} = \sum_0^\infty c_n t^n$, by holomorphy, converges uniformly on compact subsets of $B(0, 2\pi)$, and in particular, $(0, 1)$. Termwise integration, justified by uniform convergence, then shows $\int_0^1 \frac{t^s}{e^t-1} \frac{dt}{t} = \sum_0^\infty \frac{c_n}{n+s-1}$, whose poles are $1, 0, -1, -2, \dots$, all of which except 1 cancel with the zeros of $\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}$.

Therefore, $\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 \frac{t^s}{e^t-1} \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_1^\infty \frac{t^s}{e^t-1} \frac{dt}{t}$, where the former term has a unique pole that is simple at 1 and the latter is entire.